UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JABLONSKI ENTERPRISES, LTD.,)
Plaintiff,) Case No.: 2:15-cv-02296-GMN-GWF
VS.	ORDER
NYE COUNTY, NEVADA, et al.,	
Defendants.	
)

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of the Honorable United States Magistrate Judge George Foley, Jr., (ECF No. 104), regarding Defendant Clayton P. Burst's ("Burst") and Defendants Lithium Corporation ("Lithium"), Summa, LLC ("Summa"), and Henry Tonking's ("Tonking") Motions for Attorney's Fees, (ECF Nos. 69, 70).

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id*. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

1	Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed.
2	Accordingly,
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 104), is
4	ADOPTED in full.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Brust's Motion for Attorney's Fees,
6	(ECF No. 69), is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part . Plaintiff shall pay the total sum of
7	\$1,639.25 and is ordered to make the payment to Defendants by October 2, 2017.
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's request for sanctions is DENIED.
9	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants Lithium, Summa, and Tonking's
10	Motion for Attorney's Fees, (ECF No. 70), is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part .
11	Plaintiff is ordered to pay the total sum of \$2,016.00, and is ordered to make the payment to
12	Defendants by October 2, 2017.
13	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' request for sanctions is DENIED.
14	DATED this 12 day of September, 2017.
15	
16	Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
17	United States District Court
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	